

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,435	LE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Prenell P. Jones	2619	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Prenell P. Jones.

(3) _____

(2) Ms. Janaki Davda (Applicant Representative).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 January 2008

Time: 4:14 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101 rejection

Claims discussed:

1,3-15,21,23-35,41-43,45-55

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Ms. Davda that the description of "medium" described in the specification suggest the medium as being a signal, which renders the claims non-statutory. Ms. Davda authorized Examiner to amend the spec by canceling the language of "firmware, programmable logic" located on page 16 of the spec. .